

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	3
INTRODUCTION	15
PART I:	
CHARACTERIZATION OF AQUIFER SYSTEM & CONCEPTUAL MODEL	
I – CONCEPTS	19
I.1 – Systems, aquifers and models	19
I.2 – Conceptual model	21
<i>I.2.1 – Hydro-geological Characterization</i>	21
<i>I.2.2 – Conceptualisation of aquifer systems</i>	22
II – GEOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION	23
II.1 – Geological Facies of the Northern Sahara	23
<i>II.1.1 – Continental Intercalaire Facies</i>	23
<i>II.1.2 – Complexe Terminal</i>	25
II.2 – Lithostratigraphic Correlations	28
II.3 – Schematization of Saharian multi-aquifer	34
III – HYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION	37
III.1 – Precipitations in NWSAS domains	37
III.2 – Run-off within NWSAS	38
III.3 – Recharge areas and Aquifers Recharge	44
<i>III.3.1 – Direct infiltration at outcrops</i>	46
<i>III.3.2 – Infiltration of floods of Wadis</i>	47
<i>III.3.3 – Deep Evaporation</i>	47
IV – HYDROMECHANICAL CHARACTERIZATION	51
IV.1 – Schematization of CI piezometric map	51
IV.2 – CT piezometric map	54
IV.3 – Integration of isotopic data in the hydrodynamic map	56
IV.4 – Spatial distribution of transmissivities	61
IV.5 – Storage coefficients	64
IV.6 – Time series of Piezometric levels	65
<i>IV.6.1 – Continental Intercalaire</i>	65
<i>IV.6.2 – Complexe Terminal</i>	67
IV.7 – Abstraction Time series	70
<i>IV.7.1- Diversity of methodologies and sources of information</i>	70
<i>IV.7.2 – Data processing and results</i>	71
PART II:	
CONSTRUCTION OF THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL	
CHAPTER I - CONSTRUCTION OF THE MODEL	75
I – GENERAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF NORTH WESTERN SAHARA AQUIFER SYSTEM/NWSAS MODEL	75

II –GENERAL STRUCTURE OF THE MODEL	77
II.1 – CT particular Schematization in the north of the Chotts	78
II.2 - Hun Graben Structure	78
II.3 – Particular structure of the Continental Intercalaire in Tunisia	79
II.4 – CI conceptual model in Southern Tunisia	79
III - AQUIFERS EXTENSION AND DELIMITATION	83
III.1- The Continental Intercalaire	83
III.2 –The Complexe Terminal	84
III.3 –The Turonian	84
III.4 – The upper sandstones	84
III.5 – The Cambro Ordovician	84
IV – SPATIAL DISCRETISATION AND MATHEMATICAL MODEL	85
V – MODELLING SOFTWARE	87
VI - BOUNDARIES CONDITIONS SIMULATION	89
VI.1 –Continental Intercalaire Boundaries	89
VI.2 –Complexe Terminal Boundaries	91
VII – INITIAL HORIZONTAL TRANSMISSIVITIES	93
VIII – “AQUITARDS” VERTICAL PERMEABILITIES	97
CHAPTER II – MODEL CALIBRATION	99
I – MODEL CALIBRATION PROTOCOL	99
II – MAIN PHASES CALIBRATION	101
II.1 – Tripoli Model, June 2001	101
II.2 – Impact of the changes in Algerian withdrawal rates	101
II.3 – First effects of CI new structural configuration	102
II.4 – Model of August 20, 2001	102
II.5 – Model of September 10, 2001	103
II.6 – Model of September 23, 2001, return on transmissivities’ structure	104
II.7 – Model of September 30, 2001	104
II.8 – Revision of the Model in the Eastern Basin	104
III -PREPARATION OF DATA REQUIRED FOR CALIBRATION	105
III.1 - Data concerning abstraction and their evolution	105
III.2 - Data concerning piezometric levels and their evolution	106
IV –MODEL CALIBRATION IN STEADY STATE	109
IV.1 – Definition of a reference state	109
IV.2 – Definition of criteria of calibration in steady state	109
IV.3 – Main modifications during calibration	109
IV.4 – Evaluation of calibration in steady state	110
IV.4.1 - Reconstitution of CI and TC global Piezometric maps	110
IV.4.2 - Reconstitution of piezometric heads at control points	111
IV.4.3 - Reconstitution of the flow rates of sources and Springs	115
IV.5 – Calibration Results in steady state	115
IV.5.1 –General aspect of flows calculated by the model	115
IV.5.2 – Model hydro-dynamic parameters	116
IV.5.3 – Water Balance of Saharian multi-aquifer	118

V - MODEL CALIBRATION IN TRANSIENT STATE	121
V.1 – Definition of reference time series and model calibration criteria	121
<i>V.1.1 – Initial reference data & reference criteria for calibration and time-series</i>	121
<i>V.1.2- Structural parameters for calibration initialization</i>	121
<i>V.1.3 – Calibration criteria in Transient state</i>	121
V.2 – Knowledge rate of abstraction time-series	122
<i>V.2.1 - Exploitation of wells in Algeria, Tunisia and Libya</i>	122
<i>V.2.2 – Outflows of Sources and Foggaras</i>	122
V.3 – Time-series of reference piezometric levels	122
V.4 – Calibration stages in transient state	123
<i>V.4.1 - Adjustment of model parameters</i>	123
<i>V.4.2 - Evaluation of calibration in transient state</i>	124
<i>V.4.3- Restitution of outflows of sources</i>	125
VI – CALIBRATION RESULTS IN TRANSIENT STATE	127
VI.1- Distribution of storage coefficients	127
VI.2 – Draw-down map 1950-2000	136
VI.3 – Piezometric maps calculated in 2000	137
VI.4 – WATER Balance 2000	138

PART III:
PREDICTIVE SIMULATIONS

CHAPTER I: DEFINITION AND PERFORMING THE EXPLORATORY SIMULATIONS	143
I - DEFINITION OF EXPLORATORY SIMULATIONS	143
II - RESULTS OF EXPLORATORY SIMULATIONS	151
II.1 - Maintenance of current situation: zero scenario	151
<i>II.1.1 - Results in terms of piezometric and draw-down levels 2050</i>	151
<i>II.1.2 - Results in terms of balances calculated in 2050</i>	155
III - ALGERIA: HIGH WATER HYPOTHESIS	157
III.1 – Simulated abstractions and their location	157
III.2 – Results in terms of draw-downs and levels	157
IV - ALGERIA: LOW HYPOTHESIS	161
IV.1 – Reminder of simulated abstractions	161
IV.2 – Results obtained in terms of levels and draw-downs	161
V - LIBYA: GHADAMÈS FIELD	165
VI - LIBYA: DJ. HASSAOUNA FIELD	167
VII – DRAW DOWN TEMPORAL EVOLUTION	169
VII.1- Continental Intercalaire - Kiklah	169
VII.2 – Complexe Terminal	170
VIII – WATER BALANCE AT TIME FRAME 2050	171

IX – SIMULATION RESULTS ANALYSIS	173
IX.1 – Effects of proceeding with the present situation	173
<i>IX.1.1 – At the Continental Intercalaire</i>	173
<i>IX.1.2 – On the aquifer of the upper sandstone</i>	173
<i>IX.1.3 – At the Complexe Terminal</i>	173
IX. 2 – Effects of the high hypothesis in Algeria	175
<i>IX.2.1 – On the Continental Intercalaire</i>	175
<i>IX.2.2 – On the aquifer of the upper sandstone</i>	176
<i>IX.2.3 – On the Complexe Terminal</i>	176
IX. 3 – Effects of the low hypothesis in Algeria	176
<i>IX.3.1 – At the Continental Intercalaire</i>	177
<i>IX.3.2 – At the Complexe Terminal</i>	177
IX.4 – Effects of the « Ghadames field » scenario	177
IX.5 – Effects of the « Dj. Hassaouna field » scenario	177
CHAPTER II: MINIATURIZATION OF THE MODEL FOR THE RESERVOIR INVESTIGATION	179
I – THE REASON FOR A MINIATURIZED MODEL ?	179
II – INFLUENCE FUNCTIONS AND INFLUENCE COEFFICIENTS	181
III - PROPERTIES, HYPOTHESES AND APPROXIMATIONS	183
III.1. Conditions and calculation time frame	183
III.2 – Flows linear progression	186
III.3 – Aspect of influence functions	187
III.4 – Concentrated pumping Vs. distributed	188
III.5 – Constant pumping Vs. gradually varied	189
IV – MATRIX OF INFLUENCE COEFFICIENTS	191
IV.1 – Identification of well fields and scope of problem	191
IV.2 – Coefficient matrix and discharge draw-down converter	192
V - OBJECTIVES AND CONSTRAINTS OF NORTH WESTERN SAHARA AQUIFER SYSTEM/NWSAS EXPLOITATION	195
V.1 – Objectives: maximize production and preserve resources	195
V.2 Constraints and risk management	197
<i>V.2.1 – The conservation " Tunisian Outlet "</i>	197
<i>V.2.2 – Algerian foggaras</i>	198
<i>V.2.3 – Maintaining Artesianism</i>	198
<i>V.2.4 – Decreasing pumping depths throughout the region</i>	199
<i>V.2.5 - Protection of CT aquifer with regard to Chotts</i>	199
<i>V.2.6 – Interferences field</i>	199
CHAPTER III – PREDICTIVE SIMULATIONS	201
I – WORKSHOP held on April 1 & 2, 2002: CHOICE OF NWSAS EXPLOITATION SCENARIOS	201
II - SIMULATION OF EXPLOITATION SCENARIOS ON THE DIGITAL MODEL: MAIN RESULTS	203
II.1 – The Continental Intercalaire	203
II.2 – The Complexe Terminal	217

III – ANALYSIS OF SIMULATIONS RESULTS	225
III.1 – at the Continental Intercalaire	225
III.2 – at the Complexe Terminal	229

PART IV:

REPRESENTATION OF NWSAS MODEL AND RESULT ANALYSIS

PREAMBLE	235
I - TRANSMISSIVITIES AND OUTFLOW OF TUNISIAN OUTLET	237
II – STORAGE IN UNCONFINED AQUIFER	241
III – Effect OF CAMBRO-ORDOVICIEN COD	243
IV – EASTERN BOUNDARY	245
V – GULF OF SYRTE	247
VI - FOGGARAS	249
VII - CHOTTS	251
VIII - RECHARGE OF AQUIFERS	253
IX - RESERVES OF WESTERN BASIN	255
X - COMPARISON OF MODELS	257
XI – SENSITIVITY OF THE MODEL TO PARAMETERS	257
XII – STORAGE IN “AQUITARDS”	259
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMANDATIONS	265

LIST OF ANNEXES

<i>Annex n°1 Reference Piezometry, C10 aquifer</i>	3
<i>Annex n°2 CI Transmissivities</i>	7
<i>Annex n°3 Reference Piezométry, CT aquifer</i>	11
<i>Annex n°4 Piezometry of the Turonien aquifer</i>	13
<i>Annex n°5 CT Transmissivities</i>	16
<i>Annex n°6 Points whose PM5 identifier is different from BD</i>	20
<i>Annex n°7 Calibration in steady state</i>	22
<i>Annex n°8 Calibration in transient state</i>	28
<i>Annex n°9 Reference Piezometres for transient calibration</i>	34
<i>Annex n°10 Fixed heads on boundaries</i>	36